


IN-DELTA STORAGE PROGRAM STATE FEASIBILITY STUDY DRAFT REPORT ON WATER QUALITY

INTEGRATED STORAGE INVESTIGATIONS



Division of Planning and Local Assistance
Department of Water Resources
December 2003

ORGANIZATION

FOREWORD

We acknowledge the technical assistance provided by Reclamation in carrying out the role of federal lead agency for the CALFED Integrated Storage Investigations. Reclamation will continue to provide technical assistance through the review of the State Feasibility Study reports and DWR will work with Reclamation to incorporate comments and recommendations in the final reports.

State of California

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

The Resources Agency

Mike Chrisman, Secretary for Resources

California Bay-Delta Authority

Patrick Wright, Director

Wendy Halverson-Martin,
Chief Deputy Director

Department of Water Resources

Linda Adams, Director

Jonas Minton, Deputy Director

Lucinda Chipponeri, Deputy
Director
Mark Cowin, Chief, Division of
Planning and Local Assistance

Stephen Verigin, Acting Chief
Deputy Director
Tom Glover, Deputy Director

Peggy Bernardy, Chief Counsel

This report was prepared under the direction of

Division of Planning and Local Assistance

Stephen S. Roberts, Chief
Surface Storage Investigations Branch
Tirath Pal Sandhu, Project Manager
In-Delta Storage Program

With Major Contributions from

Engineering Investigations Team

Jeremy Arrich, Senior Engineer, WR
Ganesh Pandey, Engineer WR
Amarjot Bindra, Engineer WR
Dainny Nguyen, Engineer WR
Cosme Diaz, Supervising Engineer WR
Mike Driller, Senior Engineer WR
Jasmine Doan, Engineer WR
John Meininger, Engineer Mechanical

Environmental Evaluations Team

Leslie Pierce, Senior Environmental Scientist
Robert DuVall, Environmental Scientist
John Robles, Environmental Scientist
Russell Stein, Senior Environmental Scientist
Jerry Ripperda, Senior Environmental Scientist
Derrick Adachi, Senior Environmental Scientist
Janis Offermann, Senior Environmental Planner
Laura Patterson, Environmental Scientist

Robert Moore, Engineer Electrical
Brent Lamkin, Engineering Geologist
Frank Dubar, Retired Annuitant
McDonald, Chief Contracts Section

Mike Bradbury, Staff Environmental Scientist
James Gleim, Environmental Scientist
Beth Hedrickson, Environmental Scientist
Harry Spanglet, Environmental Scientist
Chuck Vogelsang, Senior Env. Scientist

Operation Studies Team

Sushil Arora, Chief, Hydrology & Operations
Dan Easton, Engineer WR
Amarjot Bindra, Engineer WR
Jeremy Arrich, Senior Engineer WR
Sean Sou, Supervising Engineer WR
Ryan Wilbur, Engineer WR
Mike Moncrief, Engineer WR
Ganesh Pandey, Engineer WR

Water Quality Investigations Team

Tara Smith, Chief, Delta Modeling Section
Parviz Nader-Tehrani, Senior Engineer WR
Robert DuVall, Environmental Scientist
Ganesh Pandey, Engineer WR
Michael Mierzwa, Engineer WR
Hari Rajbhandari, Senior Engineer WR
Richard S. Breuer, Senior Env. Specialist
Philip Wendt, Chief Water Quality
Dan Otis, Environmental Program Manager
Bob Suits, Senior Engineer WR

Economic Analyses Team

Ray Hoagland, Chief, Economic Analysis
Farhad Farnam, Research Program Specialist II
Jim Rich, Research Program Specialist
Richard Le, Retired Annuitant
Amarjot Bindra, Engineer WR
Leslie Pierce, Senior Environmental Scientist

Policy and Legal

Cathy Crothers, Legal Counsel

Consultants

URS Corporation CH2M HILL MBK Engineers Saracino-Kirby-Snow Flow Science Inc.

***Additional Technical Assistance Provided by**

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Ryan Olah, Environmental

California Department of Fish and Game

Jim Starr, Senior Biologist
Laurie Briden, Senior Biologist
Julie Niceswanger, Biologist

Table of Contents

List of Tables.....	vi
List of Figures	vii
List of Plates.....	x
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Water Quality Requirements	2
1.2.1 General Requirements.....	3
1.2.2 Long-Term Requirement	3
1.2.3 Total Organic Carbon	3
1.2.4 Chloride.....	3
1.2.5 Disinfection Byproducts	3
1.2.6 Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	4
1.2.7 Temperature	4
1.3 Scope of Work.....	4
1.3.1 Modeling Studies	4
1.3.2 Water Quality Field Investigations	5
1.3.3 Temperature and Stratification Modeling.....	5
1.4 Organization of Report.....	5
Chapter 2: WATER QUALITY MODELING STUDIES.....	6
2.1 Overview	6
2.2 Delta Hydrodynamics	8
2.2.1 Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Inflows	8
2.2.1.1 Sacramento River.....	8
2.2.1.2 San Joaquin River	11
2.2.2 Combined Exports	14
2.2.3 Contra Costa Water District Diversions / Exports.....	17
2.2.4 Gates and Barriers.....	17
2.2.5 Delta Island Consumptive Use.....	21
2.3 Delta Water Quality.....	22
2.3.1 EC	22
2.3.2 DOC	23
2.4 Project Islands	23
2.4.1 DSM2 Physical Representation of the Project Islands	25
2.4.2 Project Island Hydrodynamics	26
2.4.2.1 Integrated Facilities: Diversions and Releases	27
2.4.2.2 Operation Strategies: Circulation.....	29
2.4.2.3 Evaporation Losses	30
2.4.2.4 Seepage	30
2.4.2.5 Stage / Storage	32
2.4.3 Project Island EC	34
2.4.4 Project Island DOC	37
2.5 Results	40
2.5.1 Fingerprinting	40

2.5.2	Chloride at Urban Intakes	51
2.5.3	DOC at Urban Intakes.....	61
2.5.4	TTHM at Urban Intakes.....	72
2.5.5	Bromate at Urban Intakes	84
2.6	Conclusions	96
2.7	Recommendations	97
2.8	References	98
Chapter 3: WATER QUALITY FIELD INVESTIGATIONS.....	101	
3.1	Introduction	101
3.1.1	Development of Conceptual Model	101
3.1.2	Development of Physical Models (Mesocosms).....	102
3.2	Materials and Methods	102
3.2.1	Simulated Hydrology	104
3.2.2	Soil Disturbance.....	108
3.2.3	Biota.....	108
3.2.4	Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen.....	109
3.2.5	Water Sampling	110
3.2.6	Salinity	110
3.3	Results and Discussion	111
3.3.1	Egeria densa	115
3.3.2	Organic Carbon.....	116
3.3.3	Precipitation and Evaporation.....	121
3.3.4	Mercury.....	121
3.3.5	Biological Productivity	121
3.3.6	Trihalomethane Formation Potential	125
3.3.7	Phytoplankton	125
3.3.8	Specific Ultraviolet Absorbance	126
3.4	Use of OC Field Data in Modeling.....	132
3.5	References	132
Chapter 4: SIMULATION OF TEMPERATURE AND DISSOLVED OXYGEN	134	
4.1	Introduction	134
4.2	Modeling Approach and Boundary Conditions.....	134
4.3	Project Island DO and Temperature	137
4.3.1	Temperature	137
4.3.2	Dissolved oxygen.....	138
4.4	DO and Temperature Requirements	139
4.4.1	Output Location	141
4.5	Simulation Results.....	141
4.5.1	DO near the Islands.....	141
4.5.1.1	High Chlorophyll Scenario	141
4.5.1.2	Intermediate Scenario	144
4.5.2	Temperature near the Islands	148
4.6	Summary and Recommendation.....	152
4.6.1	Summary	152

4.6.2	Recommendation	153
4.7	References	153
Appendix A: Reservoir Stratification Study by Flow Science Inc.		155

List of Tables

Table 2.1.1: Summary of DSM2 Studies	6
Table 2.2.1: Monthly Average of Percentage of Time DCC Open	17
Table 2.2.2: Old River and Middle River Barrier Operation	20
Table 2.2.3: Grant Line Canal Barrier Operation	20
Table 2.2.4: Head Old River at San Joaquin River Barrier Operation	21
Table 2.3.1: EC at Delta Inflow Boundaries.....	22
Table 2.4.1: DSM2 Project Island Configuration	25
Table 2.4.2: Summary of DSM2 Project Island Diversions	28
Table 2.4.3: Summary of DSM2 Project Island Releases.....	29
Table 2.4.4: Summary of CALSIM II Evaporation Losses for Study 4b	30
Table 2.4.5: Summary of Project Island Seepage for Study 4b	31
Table 2.4.6: Summary of Project Island Storage (TAF) for Study 4b.....	32
Table 2.4.7: Summary of Project Island EC (umhos/cm) for Study 4b.....	35
Table 2.4.8: Project Island Organic Carbon Growth Rates (gC/m ² /day)	37
Table 2.4.9: Summary of Project Island DOC (mg/L) for Study 4b.....	38
Table 2.5.1: Percent Volume of Water Project Island at Urban Intakes.....	46
Table 2.5.2: Sensitivity of Flow Parameters in Table 5.1.....	46
Table 2.5.3: Summary of Daily Averaged Chloride (mg/L) at Urban Intakes	52
Table 2.5.4: Summary of 14-Day Average Chloride (mg/L) at Urban Intakes	52
Table 2.5.5: Summary of Change in 14-Day Ave. Chloride (mg/L) at Urban Intakes.....	57
Table 2.5.6: Number of Days and Frequency the WQMP Chloride Constraint is Exceeded.....	57
Table 2.5.7: Summary of Daily Averaged DOC (mg/L) at Urban Intakes.....	62
Table 2.5.8: Summary of 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Urban Intakes.....	62
Table 2.5.9: Summary of Change in 14-Day Ave. DOC (mg/L) at Urban Intakes	67
Table 2.5.10: Number and Frequency of Days the WQMP DOC Constraint is Exceeded	68
Table 2.5.11: Summary of Daily Averaged TTHM (ug/L) at Urban Intakes	74
Table 2.5.12: Summary of 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Urban Intakes	75
Table 2.5.13: Summary of Change in 14-Day TTHM (ug/L) at Urban Intakes	80
Table 2.5.14: Number and Frequency of Days the WQMP TTHM Constraint is Exceeded	80
Table 2.5.15: Summary of Daily Averaged Bromate (ug/L) at Urban Intakes.....	85
Table 2.5.16: Summary of 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Urban Intakes	86
Table 2.5.17: Summary of Change in 14-Day Bromate (ug/L) at Urban Intakes	91
Table 2.5.18: Number and Frequency of Days the WQMP Bromate Constraint is Exceeded	91
Table 2.6.1: Summary of Change in Water Quality Constituents for all Urban Intakes	97
Table 3.1: Physical and Chemical Properties of the Peat Soil	106
Table 3.2: Project Island Organic Carbon Growth Rates (gC/m ² /day)	132
Table 4.1: DSM2 and CALSIM study scenarios	134
Table 4.2: Summary of Violation Period in Water Temperature.....	152

List of Figures

Figure 2.1.1: Study Methodology	7
Figure 2.2.1: Difference in Sacramento River Flows (Alternative – Study 1) Stored By Month.	8
Figure 2.2.2: Daily Average Flow on the Sacramento River for Study 1 (Base).	9
Figure 2.2.3: Change in Daily Average Flow on the Sacramento River due to Study 4 and Study 4b.	10
Figure 2.2.4: Difference in San Joaquin River Flows (Alternative – Study 1) Stored By Month....	11
Figure 2.2.5: Daily Average Flow on the San Joaquin River for Study 1 (Base).....	12
Figure 2.2.6: Change in Daily Average Flow on the San Joaquin River due to Study 4 and Study 4b.	13
Figure 2.2.7: Difference in Combined SWP and CVP Exports (Alternative – Study 1) Stored By Month.....	14
Figure 2.2.8: Daily Average Combined SWP and CVP Exports for Study 1 (Base).	15
Figure 2.2.9: Change in Daily Average Combined SWP and CVP Exports due to Study 4 and Study 4b.....	16
Figure 2.2.10: South Delta Permanent Barrier Locations.....	19
Figure 2.4.1: Location of Project Islands.....	24
Figure 2.4.2: Project Island Processes Simulated in DSM2.	25
Figure 2.4.3: DSM2 Grid Surrounding Bacon Island and Webb Tract.	26
Figure 2.4.4: Examples of Typical Diversion Only, Release Only, and Circulation Operations.	30
Figure 2.4.5: Comparison of Normal Seepage and Reverse Seepage Due to IDS.	31
Figure 2.4.6: Daily Average Project Island Storage for Study 4b.	33
Figure 2.4.7: Mixing Project Island EC with Adjacent Delta Channels.....	35
Figure 2.4.8: Daily Average EC (umhos/cm) on Project Islands for Study 4b.	36
Figure 2.4.9: Daily Average DOC (mg/L) on Project Islands for Study 4b.	39
Figure 2.5.1: Old River at Rock Slough Volumetric Source Fingerprint.	41
Figure 2.5.2: Old River at LVR Intake Volumetric Source Fingerprint.	42
Figure 2.5.3: Banks Pumping Plant Volumetric Source Fingerprint.	43
Figure 2.5.4: Tracy Pumping Plant Volumetric Source Fingerprint.....	44
Figure 2.5.5: Percent Volume at Old River at Rock Slough from Project Islands.	47
Figure 2.5.6: Percent Volume at Old River at LVR Intake from Project Islands.	48
Figure 2.5.7: Percent Volume at Banks Pumping Plant from Project Islands.	49
Figure 2.5.8: Percent Volume at Tracy Pumping Plant from Project Islands.....	50
Figure 2.5.9: 14-Day Running Average Cl (mg/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).....	53
Figure 2.5.10: 14-Day Running Average Cl (mg/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).	54
Figure 2.5.11: 14-Day Running Average Cl (mg/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).	55
Figure 2.5.12: 14-Day Running Average Cl (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).	56
Figure 2.5.13: Change in 14-Day Average Cl (mg/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).	58
Figure 2.5.14: Change in 14-Day Average Cl (mg/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	59
Figure 2.5.15: Change in 14-Day Average Cl (mg/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	60
Figure 2.5.16: Change in 14-Day Average Cl (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	61
Figure 2.5.17: 14-Day Running Average DOC (mg/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).	63
Figure 2.5.18: 14-Day Running Average DOC (mg/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	64
Figure 2.5.19: 14-Day Running Average DOC (mg/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP)	65
Figure 2.5.20: 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).	66

Figure 2.5.20(a): WQMP Incremental DOC Constraint.....	67
Figure 2.5.21: Change in 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).	69
Figure 2.5.22: Change in 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	70
Figure 2.5.23: Change in 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	71
Figure 2.5.24: Change in 14-Day Average DOC (mg/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	72
Figure 2.5.25: Monthly Average Water Temperature Used to Calculate TTHM.....	74
Figure 2.5.26: 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).....	76
Figure 2.5.27: 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	77
Figure 2.5.28: 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	78
Figure 2.5.29: 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	79
Figure 2.5.30: WQMP Incremental TTHM Constraint.....	80
Figure 2.5.31: Change in 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).....	81
Figure 2.5.32: Change in 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	82
Figure 2.5.33: Change in 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	83
Figure 2.5.34: Change in 14-Day Average TTHM (ug/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	84
Figure 2.5.35: 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).....	87
Figure 2.5.36: 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	88
Figure 2.5.37: 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	89
Figure 2.5.38: 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	90
Figure 2.5.39: WQMP Incremental Bromate Constraint.....	91
Figure 2.5.40: Change in 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Old River at Rock Slough (RS).....	92
Figure 2.5.41: Change in 14-Day Ave. Bromate (ug/L) at Old River at LVR Intake (LVR).....	93
Figure 2.5.42: Change in 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP).....	94
Figure 2.5.43: Change in 14-Day Average Bromate (ug/L) at Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP).....	95
Figure 3.1: Conceptual Model Showing the DOC Sources in Project Island.....	102
Figure 3.2: Mean Turbidity in Mesocosms in 2002.....	107
Figure 3.3: Daily Precipitation Totals for Bryte Station for 2003-2003.....	108
Figure 3.4: Mean Dissolved Ammonia in Mesocosms	112
Figure 3.5: Mean Dissolved Nitrite and Nitrate in Mesocosms.....	112
Figure 3.6: Mean TKN in Mesocosms.....	113
Figure 3.7: Mean Dissolved Orthophosphate in Mesocosms	113
Figure 3.8: Mean Total Phosphorus in Mesocosms.....	114
Figure 3.9: Mean Chlorophyll a Concentrations in Mesocosms.....	114
Figure 3.10: Mean Pheophytin a Concentrations in Mesocosms.....	115
Figure 3.11: Mean TOC Concentrations in the Mesocosms.....	117
Figure 3.12: Mean DOC Concentrations in Mesocosms	118
Figure 3.13: Mean POC Concentrations in Mesocosms	118
Figure 3.14: Total Organic Carbon in full Shallow, 1.4 m, Mesocosms	119
Figure 3.15: Total Organic Carbon in Full Deep, 2.9 m, Mesocosms	119
Figure 3.16a: Total Organic Carbon in drained shallow, 0.3 m, Mesocosms.....	120
Figure 3.16b: Total Organic Carbon in Drained Deep, 0.3 m, Mesocosms	120
Figure 3.17: Relationship between THMFP and DOC for Mesocosm Water.....	122
Figure 3.18: TTHMFP for Mesocosm Water	123
Figure 3.19: Chloroform Formation Potential for Mesocosm Water	123
Figure 3.20: Bromodichloromethane Formation Potential for Mesocosm Water	124
Figure 3.21: Relationship between DOC and TTHMFP	124

Figure 3.22: Mean Dilutions used in Analyses of THMFP	125
Figure 3.23: UV 254nm Absorbance	126
Figure 3.24: Mean Specific UV Absorbance (UVA/DOC)	127
Figure 3.25: Relationship between UVA and DOC for Mesocosm Water.....	127
Figure 3.26: Relationship between UV Absorbance and THMFP	128
Figure 3.27: Relationship between UV Absorbance and THMFP	128
Figure 3.28: Relationship between SUVA and STTHMFP.....	129
Figure 3.30: 2003 March-July storage period DOC concentrations in mesocosms	130
Figure 3.31: 2003 winter DOC concentrations in mesocosms	131
Figure 3.32: 2003 drained period DOC concentrations in mesocosms.....	131
Figure 4.1: Study Methodology	135
Figure 4.2: DO and Interaction among Water Quality Parameters.....	136
Figure 4.3(a): Representation of Bacon Islands in DSM2	140
Figure 4.3(b): Representation of Webb Tract in DSM2	140
Figure 4.4a: Concentration of DO for WY 75-79.....	142
Figure 4.4b: Concentration of DO for WY 79-83.....	142
Figure 4.4c: Concentration of DO for WY 83-87.....	142
Figure 4.4d: Concentration of DO for WY 87-91.....	143
Figure 4.5a: Concentration of DO for WY 75-79.....	143
Figure 4.5b: Concentration of DO for WY 79-83.....	143
Figure 4.5c: Concentration of DO for WY 83-87.....	144
Figure 4.5d: Concentration of DO for WY 87-91.....	144
Figure 4.6: Bar plot of channel DO differences with and without project (High chlorophyll).	144
Figure 4.7a: Concentration of DO for WY 75-79	145
Figure 4.7b: Concentration of DO for WY 79-83.....	145
Figure 4.7c: Concentration of DO for WY 83-87	146
Figure 4.7d: Concentration of DO for WY 87-91	146
Figure 4.8a: Concentration of DO for WY 75-79	146
Figure 4.8b: Concentration of DO for WY 79-83	147
Figure 4.8c: Concentration of DO for WY 83-87	147
Figure 4.8d: Concentration of DO for WY 83-87	147
Figure 4.9: Sensitivity of DO for high and intermediate chlorophyll scenarios	148
Figure 4.10: Bar plot of channel DO differences with and without project (Intermediate).....	148
Figure 4.11a: Channel Water Temperature for WY 75-79	149
Figure 4.11b: Channel Water Temperature for WY 79-83	149
Figure 4.11c: Channel Water Temperature for WY 83-88	149
Figure 4.11d: Channel Water Temperature for WY 87-91	150
Figure 4.12a: Channel Water Temperature for WY 75-79	150
Figure 4.12b: Channel Water Temperature for WY 79-83	150
Figure 4.12c: Channel Water Temperature for WY 83-88	151
Figure 4.12d: Channel Water Temperature for WY 87-91	151
Figure 4.13: Temperature Difference at Outlets with and without Projects	151

List of Plates

Plate 3.1: Fiberglass Mesocosms	103
Plate 3.2: Peat Soil (Ridge Muck) Sample.....	104
Plate 3.3: Backhoe and Dump Trucks at Bacon Island.....	104
Plate 3.4: Sample of Mesocosm Water in the Van Dorn Sampler.....	115
Plate 3.5: Inside one of the Shallow Mesocosms after draining	116
Plate 3.6: Inside of a Deep Mesocosm after Draining to a Depth of 0.3 m	117